20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DIGTE	NICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR	CICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9			
10	NOEL RIVERA,	No. C 10-01050 WHA	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.	ORDER DISMISSING CASE	
13	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE		
14	UNDER POOLING AND SERVICING AGREEMENT DATED AS OF NOVEMBER		
15	1, 2004, ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004 WHQ2,		
16	BARCLAYS CAPITAL REAL ESTATE d/b/a HOMEQ SERVICING CORPORATION,		
17	OLD REPUBLIC DEFAULT MANAGEMENT SERVICES, ARGENT		
18	MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC, THE HOME LOAN GROUP, and DOES 1–100, Inclusive.		
19	Defendants		

Defendants.

plaintiff has not provided a response.

Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee under pooling and servicing agreement dated as of November 1, 2004, asset-backed pass-through certificates series 2004 WHQ2, and Barclays Capital Real Estate d/b/a Homeq Servicing Corporation, filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief in opposition to the motion was due on April 8, 2010, but no such opposition has been received. Plaintiff was ordered to respond by April 14, 2010, and show cause for his failure to respond to the motion in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) or alternately to file a statement of nonopposition to the motion as required by Civil Local Rule 7-3(b). Yet again, the deadline has passed and

Case 3:10-cv-01050-WHA Document 15 Filed 04/19/10 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1	
2	1
3] .
4	
5	
6	
7]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

	As described, plaintiff has repeatedly failed to respond in a timely fashion.	Due to
plaintif	f's failure to prosecute, this action will be DISMISSED WITHOUT LEAVE TO	AMEND
Judgme	ent shall be entered.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 19, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE